Case 1:02-cv-03271-AMD

Document 9

Filed 10/09/2002

Page 1 of 1

112 - 327/

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

CHAMBERS OF ANDRE M. DAVIS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE U.S. COURTHOUSE 101 W. LOMBARD STREET BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201 (410) 962-0801 FAX (410) 962-0820

October 9, 2002

FILED SINTEL

MEMORANDUM TO COUNSEL RE:

OCT 0 9 2002

"Maryland Thimerosal Litigation" Hoggan v. Merck & Co. Civ. No. AMD 02-2907 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

DEPUTY

I acknowledge Ms. Stein's letter dated October 8, 2002, regarding Local Rule 105.1, and I thank her for the same.

My view is that no defendant should file any preliminary motions in these cases until after motions for remand have been adjudicated. Indeed, I hereby extend the time for any defendant to move, answer or otherwise respond to any of the complaints in these cases until after the court has ruled on motions for remand or until the time for filing such motions has expired, whichever is the latest.

I trust that this responds adequately to defendants' concerns.

Despite the informal nature of this memorandum, it is an Order of Court and the clerk shall docket it as such.

Very truly yours,

Andre M. Davis

United States District Judge

AMD:tt

(7)